08/977,787 MIZZEN ET AL Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Mary K Zeman 1631 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Mary K Zeman. (3)Len Rasile. (4) Janis Frasier. (2) Lee Crews (Appl Rep). Date of Interview: 7/13/01; 8/02/01. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)[⊠] No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: all pending. Identification of prior art discussed: Young, Srivasvata, etc. . Agreement with respect to the claims f(x) = x + x + y = x +Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Amendments to overcome the art of record were discussed. The proposed amendments were tentatively accepted, pending final review. 8/9/01, Applicant was notified that the proseution would be reopened for new art rejections. . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required